

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/032,103	LEE, BYUNG-KYU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Charles Wieland.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102/103 rejection

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Lambeth

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Wieland to suggest an examiner's amendment to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance. Specifically, the exr. indicated that the subject matter of claims 9-10, 15-16 and 19-21 was allowable. Mr. Wieland agreed to consider the proposal but ultimately, an agreement could not be reached..